IN AUTOMOBILE CASE An automobile is no more dan-

JIDGE DIRELL RITLES

erous than other vehicles when landled properly, according to a detsion of Judge Dibell in the distict court, and an owner may permit his friends to use his car the same u he would his carriage or bicycle

and be no more responsible than he would in either of those cases when in accident occurs. This opinion was rendered in the use of Lillian Kaner against Charles H. Thornton in which Judge Dibell Theld the verdict of the jury of \$300

for Miss Kaner on the grounds that the jury decided that Mr. Thornton's En was using his father's automobile

on the latter's business when the todident to Miss Kaner happened. The court intimates that he is not certain of the justness of the jury's

fiding, owing to the fact that young

Thornton was about to take a party of friends to ride when the accident happened, although he had previousbeen out on business for his father.